

United States Paient and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,115	06/14/2002		Henricus Wilhelmus Theodorus Janssen	EF377397961US 1559		
:	7590	01/23/2003				
Baker Botts			EXAMINER			
30 Rockefeller New York, NY				VALENTI, ANDREA M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3643		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<u> </u>
		-	Application No.		Applicant(s)	•
•	Office Action Summary		10/018,115		JANSSEN, HENRICUS WILHELMUS THEODORUS	
•	Office Action Gammary		Examiner		Art Unit	
			Andrea M. Valent		3643	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE M Extensi after SI - If the p If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stato reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. 0) days, a reply valutory period will will, by statute, of	S(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini I apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>14 Ju</u>	ine 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fir	nal.		
•—	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract n of Claims					e merits is
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the	application.				
4:	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdraw	n from considera	ation.		
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirer	ment.		
Applicatio	n Papers					
	ne specification is objected to by the					
10)∐ Tł	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accept	ed or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Exar	miner.	
—	Applicant may not request that any obj			<u>-</u>		
11)[_] Ti	ne proposed drawing correction file		. —	,— ,,	ved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re-	•	•	ion.		
	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠	All b) Some * c) None of:					
1	. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been rece	ived.		
2	. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been recei	ived in Application	on No	
	. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interne the attached detailed Office actio	ational Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign lar howledgment is made of a claim f		• •			
Attachment(s	•		. ,	70 124		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-15 and 19-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 9, 16, and 19, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The

Art Unit: 3643

Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation binding agent, and the claim also recites latex which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-15, 17-18, and 20-23 are rejected as being dependent from rejected base claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 994,816 to Cahill.

Regarding Claims 1 and 16-18, Cahill teaches a method of manufacturing a plant support manufactured having an at least partially open wall, the box-shaped element (Fig. 1) being at least partially covered with a preferably substantially biodegradable covering material (Fig. 2 and page 1 line 25), the covering material being provided in such a manner that it covers the partially open wall at least partially, such that the wall

Art Unit: 3643

becomes soil-proof, while roots of a plant, growing in the pot during use, can grow at least partially through the covering material (page 1 line 80-84) and the wall to the outside of the plant support, characterized in that as covering material a material is manufactured from biodegradable material

Regarding Claim 2, Cahill teaches the box-shaped element is at least substantially manufactured from material having a mesh-shaped structure (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, Cahill discloses the box-shaped element is substantially manufactured from wire material (page 1 line 55).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 3,818,633; U.S. Patent No. 5,768,825; U.S. Patent No. 4,336,669; U.S. Patent No. 3,921,333; U.S. Patent No. 6,185,864; U.S. Patent No. 4,604,825; U.S. Patent No. 5,454,191; and French Patent FR2605335.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 703-305-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm M-F; Alternating Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4195 for regular communications and 703-305-0285 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3643

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-4357.

AMV January 21, 2003

PETER M. POON

SUPERVISORY PASSACE EXAMINES TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600